UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,093	02/27/2004	Gerhard D. Klassen	1679-5/JLW	4271
54120 RESEARCH IN	7590 06/11/200 N MOTION	EXAMINER		
ATTN: GLENI	OA WOLFE	KEATON, SHERROD L		
BUILDING 6, BRAZOS EAST, SUITE 100 5000 RIVERSIDE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVING, TX 75039			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/787,093	KLASSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sherrod Keaton	2175					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	larch 2008						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<u>/_</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the RCE filing of 3-28-08. Claims 1-18 are pending and have been considered below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-9 disclose a "program product" embodied within a modulated carrier medium which does not fall within at least one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101 (process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2175

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 10, 11, 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Schmidt Jr. et al. ("Schmidt" US 6778642 B1) in view of Keyworth, II et al. ("Keyworth" 5579472) and DeCarmo (20040010808 A1).

<u>Claim 1:</u> Schmidt discloses a program product and method for execution on a communications device for receiving, storing and displaying heterogeneous messages from different communications channels, the messages being received via different communications channels being received in formats specific to each communication (Column 2, Lines 43-54):

Schmidt does not explicitly disclose that the communication device is capable of executing a plurality of message applications, each message application being associated with one of the communications channels and being executable to store and display messages received from the associated communications channel. However Keyworth discloses a group oriented communications interface and further discloses a communication device (Column 3, Lines 45-65). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to explicitly disclose being able to execute the message applications in Schmidt as taught by Keyworth. One

Art Unit: 2175

would have been motivated to provide this functionality so user could completely and efficiently interact with the system.

Keyworth further discloses the program product comprising a medium having executable program code embodied in said medium is a collating application, the executable program code comprising a collating application being executable on the communications device for dynamically retrieving heterogeneous messages stored by the plurality of message applications, said retrieved messages meeting at least one collating criterion (Column 9, Lines 39-50; Column 10, Lines 7-15), displaying on a user interface of the communication device an ordered listing of message associated with at least one of said the retrieved messages in a single view on the communications device the application further executable (Figure 6), while the application is displaying on the user interface, to continually retrieve messages as they are received and stored by each of the communications channels and to display retrieved messages that match the at least one collating criterion, the retrieved collated message then incorporated into the application's display (Figure 15; Column 9, Lines 52-58; Column 10, Lines 15-25). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the functionality of Keyworth in Schmidt. One would have been motivated to provide this functionality in Schmidt because it provides an interface that is intuitive, efficient and user friendly.

<u>Schmidt</u> also does not disclose showing body fragments. However <u>DeCarmo</u> discloses an instant message system and further discloses display a parts of the message (or body fragment). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2175

art at the time of the invention to provide body fragments in the modified <u>Schmidt</u> as taught by <u>DeCarmo</u>. One would have been motivated to provide the body fragment to allow the user to promptly decide the urgency of the message.

Page 5

<u>Claim 10:</u> Claim 10 is similar in scope to Claim 1 and therefore rejected under the same rationale.

<u>Claims 2 and 11:</u> <u>Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo</u> disclose a program and method as in claims 1 and 10 above, and further disclose in which the executable program code and method further comprises program code executable on the communications device for enabling a specification of the at least one collating criterion at the user interface (<u>Keyworth</u>: Column 5, Lines 55-62).

<u>Claims 5 and 14:</u> Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo disclose a program and method as in claims 1 and 10 above, in which the executable program code and method further comprises program code executable on the communications device for displaying a defined icon representing the at least one collating criterion (<u>Schmidt Column 2</u>, Lines 17-24) (Figure 5).

<u>Claims 6 and 15:</u> <u>Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo</u> disclose a program and method as in claims 1 and 10 above, in which the executable program code and method further

comprises program code executable on the communications device for displaying a first defined icon representing the at least one collating criterion when the communications device is in receipt of no unread messages meeting the at least one collating criterion and for displaying by the collating application a second defined icon representing the at least one collating criterion when the communications device is in receipt of at least one unread message meeting at least one collating criterion (Schmidt Column 3, Lines 66-67; Column 4, Lines 1-10), (Keyworth: Figure 6).

Claims 7 and 16: Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo disclose a program and method as in claims 1 and 10 above, in which the executable program code and method further comprises program code executable on the communications device for enabling selection between alternative views for presenting the ordered listing of message body fragments associated with each of said retrieved messages (Schmidt Figure 6; Column 7, Lines 9-27). The additional views are provided by home or office selection.

Claims 8 and 17: Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo disclose a program and method as in claims 1 and 10 above, in which the executable program code and method further comprises program code executable on the communications device for displaying the ordered listing of message body fragments associated with at least one of said retrieved messages in sub-lists under displayed headings, each heading reflecting the communications channel on which the messages in the associated sub-list were

Art Unit: 2175

received by the communications device (<u>Schmidt</u> Figures 6). The subject provides the message fragment and each selection provides it in a single view.

4. Claims 3, 4, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Schmidt Jr. et al ("Schmidt"US 6778642 B1), Keyworth, II et al ("Keyworth" 5579472) and DeCarmo (20040010808 A1) as applied to Claim 1 and 10 in further view of Dang et al ("Dang" US 6571275 B1)

Claims 3 and 12: Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo disclose a program and method as in claims 2 and 11 above, but do not explicitly disclose executable program code and method for the specification of the at least one collating criterion at the user interface by enabling specification of one or more collating criterion using address book entries.

However Dang discloses filtering messages and further discloses using an address book as a criterion (Column 7, Line 58-Column 8, Line 10). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the collating criteria be based on an address book in the modified Schmidt as taught by Dang. One would have been motivated to provide an address book to provide improved query of names, groups, etc; therefore the system is more efficient and user-friendly.

Art Unit: 2175

Claims 4 and 13: Schmidt, Keyworth, DeCarmo and Dang disclose a program and method as in claims 3 and 12 above, and the specified at least one collating criterion comprising a name associated with one entry in the address book (Dang discloses filtering messages and further discloses using an address book including names as a criterion (Column 7, Line 58-Column 8, Line 10)).

5. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Schmidt Jr. et al ("Schmidt"US 6778642 B1), Keyworth, II et al ("Keyworth" 5579472) and DeCarmo (20040010808 A1) as applied to Claim 1 and 10 in further view of Schnarel et al ("Schnarel" US 7225409 B1).

Claims 9 and 18: Schmidt, Keyworth and DeCarmo disclose a program and method as in claim 8 and 17 above, but do not explicitly disclose program code and method further comprises program code executable on the communications device for enabling launching at the user interface the message application associated with one of the communications channels by selecting one of the displayed sub-list headings. However Schnarel discloses a graphical user interface that allows selection of a button to launch a message application (Column 5, Line 50-Column 6, Line 25). Therefore it would have been obvious to provide launch buttons or incorporate the button with the heading of the modified Schmidt to launch the program as taught by Schnarel. One would have been

motivated to provide the selection capabilities to the interface because it provides complete operability, is efficient and user friendly.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by the amendments.
- 7. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrod Keaton whose telephone number is 571) 270-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. and alternating Fri. off (EST).

Art Unit: 2175

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on 571-272-4088. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from

a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLK

6-5-08

/William L. Bashore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175